

Magnetic Moments of the Baryon Decuplet in a Relativistic Quark Model

Felix Schlumpf

*Stanford Linear Accelerator Center
Stanford University, Stanford, California 94309
(February 1, 2008)*

Abstract

The magnetic moments of the baryon decuplet are calculated in a relativistic constituent quark model using the light-front formalism. Of particular interest are the magnetic moments of the Ω^- and Δ^{++} for which new recent experimental measurements are available. Our calculation for the magnetic moment ratio $\mu(\Delta^{++})/\mu(p)$ is in excellent agreement with the experimental ratio, while our ratio $\mu(\Omega^-)/\mu(\Lambda^0)$ is slightly higher than the experimental ratio.

PACS numbers: 13.40.Fn, 12.40.Aa

I. INTRODUCTION

Already two of the magnetic moments of the baryon decuplet have been measured. The most precise experiment for the Δ^{++} is a pion bremsstrahlung analysis [1]. The magnetic moment of the Ω^- has been measured by the E756 collaboration [2] where Ω^- hyperons are produced by a polarized neutral spin transfer reaction. The final result from the succeeding experiment called E800 is expected within the year [3]. Theoretical predictions for the Δ^{++} and Ω^- magnetic moments have been given in many models. In particular, the simple, additive quark model predicts the ratio of the magnetic moment of Δ^{++} and proton to be 2, and it predicts the ratio of the magnetic moment of Ω^- and Λ^0 to be 3.

The analysis of Ref. [1] finds the ratio $\mu(\Delta^{++})/\mu(p)$ to be 1.62 ± 0.18 , which is significantly smaller than the additive quark model. Most models however predict a ratio 2 or higher, a value that is only compatible with older experiments.

We recently investigated the predictive power of a relativistic constituent quark model formulated on the light-front [4]. It provides a simple model wherein we have overall an excellent and consistent picture of the magnetic moments and the semileptonic decays of the baryon octet. The parameters of this model are the constituent quark mass m and the scale parameter β , which is a measure for the size of the baryon. All parameters except β for Ω^- have been determined and fixed in Ref. [4]. We predict the magnetic moments for the baryon decuplet and find that the ratio $\mu(\Delta^{++})/\mu(p)$ is in excellent agreement with the experiment, while our ratio $\mu(\Omega^-)/\mu(\Lambda^0)$ is slightly higher than the experimental ratio.

In Sec. II we give a brief summary of our model as described in Ref. [4]. Section III contains the explicit expressions for the magnetic moments of the baryon decuplet. The numerical results are presented in Sec. IV, and are compared with experiment and other calculations. We summarize our investigation in a concluding Sec. V.

II. QUARK-MODEL WAVE FUNCTION

The constituent quark model that we are going to use is described in a previous paper [4]. In order to fix the notation we repeat here the essential formalism.

We shall formulate our model on the light-front which is specified by the invariant hypersurface $x^+ = x^0 + x^3 = 0$. The following notation is used: The four-vector is given by $x = (x^+, x^-, x_\perp)$, where $x^\pm = x^0 \pm x^3$ and $x_\perp = (x^1, x^2)$. Light-front vectors are denoted by boldface $\mathbf{x} = (x^+, x_\perp)$, and they are covariant under kinematic Lorentz transformations [5]. The three momenta $\mathbf{p}_i$ of the quarks can be transformed to the total and relative momenta to facilitate the separation of the center of mass motion [6]:

$$\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{p}_2 + \mathbf{p}_3, \quad \xi = \frac{p_1^+}{p_1^+ + p_2^+}, \quad \eta = \frac{p_1^+ + p_2^+}{P^+}, \quad (2.1)$$

$$q_\perp = (1 - \xi)p_{1\perp} - \xi p_{2\perp}, \quad Q_\perp = (1 - \eta)(p_{1\perp} + p_{2\perp}) - \eta p_{3\perp}.$$

Note that the four-vectors are not conserved, i.e., $p_1 + p_2 + p_3 \neq P$. In the light-front dynamics the Hamiltonian takes the form

$$H = \frac{P_{\perp}^2 + M^2}{P^+}, \quad (2.2)$$

where M is the mass operator with the interaction term W

$$\begin{aligned} M &= M_0 + W, \\ M_0^2 &= \frac{Q_{\perp}^2}{\eta(1-\eta)} + \frac{M_3^2}{\eta} + \frac{m_3^2}{1-\eta}, \\ M_3^2 &= \frac{q_{\perp}^2}{\xi(1-\xi)} + \frac{m_1^2}{\xi} + \frac{m_2^2}{1-\xi}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

with m_i being the masses of the constituent quarks. To get a clearer picture of M_0 we transform to q_3 and Q_3 by

$$\xi = \frac{E_1 + q_3}{E_1 + E_2}, \quad \eta = \frac{E_{12} + Q_3}{E_{12} + E_3}, \quad (2.4)$$

$$E_{1/2} = (\mathbf{q}^2 + m_{1/2}^2)^{1/2}, \quad E_3 = (\mathbf{Q}^2 + m_3^2)^{1/2}, \quad E_{12} = (\mathbf{Q}^2 + M_3^2)^{1/2},$$

where $\mathbf{q} = (q_1, q_2, q_3)$, and $\mathbf{Q} = (Q_1, Q_2, Q_3)$. The expression for the mass operator is now simply

$$M_0 = E_{12} + E_3, \quad M_3 = E_1 + E_2. \quad (2.5)$$

All relevant matrix elements we investigate are related to

$$\langle \mathbf{p}' | \bar{q} \gamma^+ q | \mathbf{p} \rangle \sqrt{p'^+ p^+} \equiv \mathcal{M}^+, \quad (2.6)$$

where the state $|\mathbf{p}\rangle \equiv |p\rangle / \sqrt{p^+}$ is normalized according to

$$\langle \mathbf{p}' | \mathbf{p} \rangle = \delta(\mathbf{p}' - \mathbf{p}). \quad (2.7)$$

The plus component of the matrix element is [4]:

$$\mathcal{M}^+ = 3 \frac{N_c}{(2\pi)^6} \int d^3 q d^3 Q \left(\frac{E'_3 E'_{12} M}{E_3 E_{12} M'} \right)^{1/2} \Psi^\dagger(\mathbf{q}', \mathbf{Q}', \lambda') \Psi(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{Q}, \lambda). \quad (2.8)$$

We can write the Δ^{++} for instance as

$$|\Delta^{++}\rangle = (uuu)\chi\phi. \quad (2.9)$$

with χ being the spin wave function and ϕ being the momentum distribution. For the latter we choose a function of M_0^2 , in particular we choose the same harmonic oscillator and pole type wave function as in Ref. [4]:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_H &= N_H \exp(-M_0^2/2\beta^2), \\ \phi_P &= N_P (1 + M_0^2/\beta^2)^{-3.5}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

The normalization constants N_H and N_P are given by the constraint:

$$\frac{N_c}{(2\pi)^6} \int d^3q d^3Q |\phi|^2 = 1. \quad (2.11)$$

The spin wave function χ for the spin $\frac{3}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{\frac{3}{2}} &= \uparrow\uparrow\uparrow, \\ \chi_{\frac{1}{2}} &= (\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow + \uparrow\downarrow\uparrow + \downarrow\uparrow\uparrow)/\sqrt{3}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

In order to get the baryon to be an eigenfunction of the spin operator we still have to rotate the quark spins by the Melosh transformation [7] as follows:

$$\uparrow = \mathcal{R}_i \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \downarrow = \mathcal{R}_i \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.13)$$

The Melosh rotation for three particles can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_1 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{a^2 + Q_\perp^2} \sqrt{c^2 + q_\perp^2}} \begin{pmatrix} ac - q_R Q_L & -aq_L - cQ_L \\ cQ_R + aq_R & ac - q_L Q_R \end{pmatrix}, \\ \mathcal{R}_2 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{a^2 + Q_\perp^2} \sqrt{d^2 + q_\perp^2}} \begin{pmatrix} ad + q_R Q_L & aq_L - dQ_L \\ dQ_R - aq_R & ad + q_L Q_R \end{pmatrix}, \\ \mathcal{R}_3 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{b^2 + Q_\perp^2}} \begin{pmatrix} b & Q_L \\ -Q_R & b \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

where we have defined the following quantities:

$$\begin{aligned} a &= M_3 + \eta M, & b &= m_3 + (1 - \eta)M, \\ c &= m_1 + \xi M_3, & d &= m_2 + (1 - \xi)M_3, \\ q_R &= q_1 + iq_2, & q_L &= q_1 - iq_2, \\ Q_R &= Q_1 + iQ_2, & Q_L &= Q_1 - iQ_2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

III. MAGNETIC MOMENTS FOR THE BARYON DECUPLET

The electromagnetic current matrix element for spin $\frac{3}{2}$ particles can be written as

$$\langle p', s' | J^\mu(0) | p, s \rangle = \bar{u}_\alpha(p', s') \mathcal{O}^{\alpha\mu\beta} u_\beta(p, s), \quad (3.1)$$

where $u_\alpha(p, s)$ is a Rarita-Schwinger spin-vector with momentum p and spin s . The Lorentz covariant form for the tensor $\mathcal{O}^{\alpha\mu\beta}$ may be written as

$$\mathcal{O}^{\alpha\mu\beta} = g^{\alpha\beta} \left(F_1 \gamma^\mu + \frac{F_2}{2M_B} i\sigma^{\mu\nu} K_\nu \right) + \frac{K^\alpha K^\beta}{2M_B^2} \left(F_3 \gamma^\mu + \frac{F_4}{2M_B} i\sigma^{\mu\nu} K_\nu \right) \quad (3.2)$$

with momentum transfer $K = p' - p$ and baryon mass M_B . For $K^2 = 0$ the form factors F_1 and F_2 are respectively equal to the charge and the anomalous magnetic moment, and the

magnetic moment is $\mu = F_1(0) + F_2(0)$. For this analysis we are therefore not interested in the form factors F_3 and F_4 . In order to use Eq. (2.8) we express the form factors F_1 and F_2 in terms of the plus component of the current:

$$\begin{aligned} F_1(0) &= \left\langle p, \frac{3}{2} \left| J^+ \right| p, \frac{3}{2} \right\rangle, \\ K_\perp F_2(0) &= 2 \left[\sqrt{3} M_B \left\langle p', \frac{1}{2} \left| J^+ \right| p, \frac{3}{2} \right\rangle + K_\perp \left\langle p, \frac{3}{2} \left| J^+ \right| p, \frac{3}{2} \right\rangle \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

By inserting our wave function from Eq. (2.9) into the spin conserving matrix element $\langle p, \frac{3}{2} | J^+ | p, \frac{3}{2} \rangle$ we get the charge of the baryon. For the spin flipping matrix element we get

$$\left\langle p', \frac{1}{2} \left| J^+ \right| p, \frac{3}{2} \right\rangle = K_\perp f, \quad (3.4)$$

where f is given for the different baryons as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} f(\Delta^{++}) &= 2I_\Delta, \\ f(\Delta^+) &= I_\Delta, \\ f(\Delta^0) &= 0, \\ f(\Delta^-) &= -I_\Delta, \\ f(\Sigma^{*+}) &= (4I_{\Sigma^*}^{(2)} - I_{\Sigma^*}^{(3)})/3, \\ f(\Sigma^{*0}) &= (I_{\Sigma^*}^{(2)} - I_{\Sigma^*}^{(3)})/3, \\ f(\Sigma^{*-}) &= (-2I_{\Sigma^*}^{(2)} - I_{\Sigma^*}^{(3)})/3, \\ f(\Xi^{*0}) &= (2I_{\Xi^*}^{(3)} - 2I_{\Xi^*}^{(2)})/3, \\ f(\Xi^{*-}) &= (-I_{\Xi^*}^{(3)} - 2I_{\Xi^*}^{(2)})/3, \\ f(\Omega^-) &= -I_\Omega. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

The integral I is given by

$$I = \frac{N_c}{(2\pi)^6} \int d^3q d^3Q |\phi|^2 (A_1 + A_2 + A_3) / \sqrt{3} \quad (3.6)$$

where the quantities A_i are

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= \frac{\eta \left(a - \frac{Q_\perp^2}{2(1-\eta)M} \right)}{a^2 + Q_\perp^2} \frac{c^2}{c^2 + q_\perp^2}, \\ A_2 &= \frac{\eta \left(a - \frac{Q_\perp^2}{2(1-\eta)M} \right)}{a^2 + Q_\perp^2} \frac{d^2}{d^2 + q_\perp^2}, \\ A_3 &= \frac{\frac{Q_\perp^2}{2M} - \eta b}{b^2 + Q_\perp^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that for equal u and d quark masses there is an equality $A_1 = A_2$ under the integral. The masses m_i in our equations are set as follows ($m = m_u = m_d$):

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{\Delta} &: m_1 = m_2 = m_3 = m, \\
I_{\Sigma^*}^{(2)} &: m_1 = m_3 = m, \quad m_2 = m_s, \\
I_{\Sigma^*}^{(3)} &: m_1 = m_2 = m, \quad m_3 = m_s, \\
I_{\Xi^*}^{(2)} &: m_1 = m_3 = m_s, \quad m_2 = m, \\
I_{\Xi^*}^{(3)} &: m_1 = m_2 = m_s, \quad m_3 = m, \\
I_{\Omega} &: m_1 = m_2 = m_3 = m_s.
\end{aligned}$$

In the nonrelativistic limit, $\beta/m \rightarrow 0$, and for equal quark masses the integral I does vanish.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

We have calculated the magnetic moments of the decuplet baryons using Eqs. (3.3)-(3.6). The parameters of the model, the constituent quark mass m and the scale parameter β , have been determined and fixed by a successful fit to the electroweak properties of the baryon octet ($\beta_{\Delta} = \beta_N, \beta_{\Sigma^*} = \beta_{\Sigma}, \beta_{\Xi^*} = \beta_{\Xi}$) [4]. The only new parameter β_{Ω} is chosen to fit nicely into the raising pattern of the β s. The parameters for both wave functions ϕ_H and ϕ_P in Eq. (2.10) are summarized in Table I. The corresponding results for the magnetic moments for both wave functions (H) and (P) are given in Table II and III, together with other calculations.

In the simple nonrelativistic quark model (NQM) [8] the magnetic moment of a decuplet baryon is the sum of the magnetic moments of each quark composing the baryon. This is quite different to the fact that the magnetic moment is derived from the elastic electron scattering at non-zero momentum transfer. The lattice result (Latt) is taken from a recent lattice simulation of quenched QCD [9]. The other model calculations include results from a cloudy bag model (CB) [10], the Skyrme model (Skr) [11], a Bethe-Salpeter formalism (BS) [12], an additive quark model [13] with effective quark masses (EM) and a calculation in which relativistic corrections (RC) to the baryon magnetic moments are considered [14]. The experimental value (Expt) for the Δ^{++} is taken from a recent pion bremsstrahlung analysis [1], and the experimental value for the Ω^- is taken from a recent investigation where Ω^- hyperons are produced by a polarized neutral spin transfer reaction [2].

It is instructive to compare the different results of the ratios of the magnetic moments $\mu(\Delta^{++})/\mu(p)$ and $\mu(\Omega^-)/\mu(\Lambda^0)$. In the NQM these ratios are parameter free and given to be 2 and 3 respectively.

The experimental value for $\mu(\Delta^{++})/\mu(p)$ of Ref. [1] is lower than 2 even if we include the uncertainty of the model dependence of the measurement (± 0.16). Only the BS calculation and our result are in excellent agreement with experiment. The results from Latt and CB are even larger than 2, a result only compatible with older experimental values [15,16]. In this sense the magnetic moment of the Δ^{++} is a good mean to distinguish between the different models.

The experimental value for $\mu(\Omega^-)/\mu(\Lambda^0)$ of Ref. [2] is slightly higher than 3. It is interesting to note that every model beyond the NQM gives also a value larger than 3, except for EM. Our value 3.49 is closest to the central value of the experiment, although most of the calculations are within the statistical (± 0.28) and systematic (± 0.23) error of

this experiment. We could even reduce our value for the ratio $\mu(\Omega^-)/\mu(\Lambda^0)$ by using a larger value of β_Ω or by choosing an appropriate anomalous magnetic moment of the strange quark.

V. SUMMARY

The magnetic moments of the baryon decuplet are calculated in a relativistic constituent quark model using the light-front formalism. The parameters of the model are fixed by fitting the baryon octet physics, except for β_Ω which is chosen in a natural way. It is a challenge for every hadronic model to get consistent values for the magnetic moments for both the Δ^{++} and Ω^- . Our calculation for the magnetic moment ratio $\mu(\Delta^{++})/\mu(p)$ is in excellent agreement with the experimental ratio, while our ratio $\mu(\Omega^-)/\mu(\Lambda^0)$ is slightly higher than the experimental ratio.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

It is a pleasure to thank W. Jaus for helpful discussions. I am grateful to X. Ji for his hospitality at M.I.T. where the draft of this paper was written. This work was supported in part by the Schweizerischer Nationalfonds and in part by the Department of Energy, contract DE-AC03-76SF00515.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Bosshard *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **44**, 1962 (1991).
- [2] H. T. Diehl *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **67**, 804 (1991).
- [3] G. Rameika, Fermilab Report Jan. Feb. March, 5 (1993).
- [4] F. Schlumpf, Phys. Rev. D **47**, 4114 (1993).
- [5] P. L. Chung *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C **37**, 2000 (1988).
- [6] B. L. G. Bakker, L. A. Kondratyunk, and M. V. Terent'ev, Nucl. Phys. B **158**, 497 (1979).
- [7] H. J. Melosh, Phys. Rev. D **9**, 1095 (1974).
- [8] Particle Data Group, Phys. Rev. D **45**, 1 (1992).
- [9] D. B. Leinweber, T. Draper, and R. M. Woloshyn, University of Maryland, Report No. MDDP-PP-92-188 (1992).
- [10] M. I. Krivoruchenko, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **45**, 109 (1987).
- [11] J. H. Kim, C. H. Lee, and H. K. Lee, Nucl. Phys. **A501**, 835 (1989).
- [12] A. Mitra and A. Mittal, Phys. Rev. D **29**, 1399 (1984).
- [13] K. T. Chao, Phys. Rev. D **41**, 920 (1990).
- [14] H. Georgi and A. Manohar, Phys. Lett. **132B**, 183 (1983).
- [15] B. M. K. Nefkens *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **18**, 391 (1978).
- [16] R. Wittman, Phys. Rev. D **37**, 2075 (1988).

TABLES

TABLE I. The parameter of the constituent quark model for the harmonic oscillator wave function (H) and for the pole type (P) wave function. Both the quark masses m and the scale parameter β are given in units of GeV.

Parameters	H	P
$m_u = m_d$	0.26	0.263
m_s	0.38	0.38
β_Δ	0.55	0.607
β_{Σ^*}	0.60	0.75
β_{Ξ^*}	0.62	0.90
β_Ω	0.70	1.05

TABLE II. Magnetic moments of the baryon decuplet. The calculations of the present work with the harmonic oscillator wave function (H) and the pole type wave function (P) are compared with the simple nonrelativistic quark model (NQM), with a lattice calculation (Latt) and with the Skyrme model (Skvr). The magnetic moments are given in units of the nuclear magneton. References are given in the text.

Baryon	H	P	NQM	Latt	Skvr
Δ^{++}	4.76	4.93	5.56	4.91	4.53
Δ^+	2.38	2.47	2.73	2.46	2.09
Δ^0	0.00	0.00	-0.09	0.00	-0.36
Δ^-	-2.38	-2.47	-2.92	-2.46	-2.80
Σ^{*+}	1.82	1.84	3.09	2.55	2.55
Σ^{*0}	-0.27	-0.28	0.27	0.27	-0.02
Σ^{*-}	-2.36	-2.41	-2.56	-2.02	-2.60
Ξ^{*0}	-0.60	-0.56	0.63	0.46	0.40
Ξ^{*-}	-2.41	-2.41	-2.20	-1.68	-2.31
Ω^-	-2.48	-2.47	-1.84	-1.40	-1.98

TABLE III. Comparison of our calculations (H) and (P) of the magnetic moments for the Δ^{++} and Ω^- with other calculations and experiment (Expt). The calculations are the simple nonrelativistic quark model (NQM), lattice calculations (Latt), Skyrme model (Skyr), cloudy bag model (CB), Bethe-Salpeter formalism (BS), an additive quark model based on effective quark masses (EM) and a calculation including relativistic corrections (RC). The experimental value given for Δ^{++} has some model dependence. All numbers are given in units of the nuclear magneton. References are given in the text.

Magnetic Moment	Expt	H	P	NQM	Latt	Skyr	CB	BS	EM	RC
$\mu(\Delta^{++})$	4.52 ± 0.50	4.76	4.93	5.56	4.91	4.53	6.54	4.44	–	–
$\mu(\Delta^{++})/\mu(p)$	1.62 ± 0.18	1.69	1.75	2.00	2.18	1.98	2.34	1.59	–	–
$\mu(\Omega^-)$	-1.94 ± 0.17	-2.41	-2.41	-1.84	-1.40	-1.98	-2.52	–	-1.69	-2.25
$\mu(\Omega^-)/\mu(\Lambda^0)$	3.16 ± 0.28	3.49	3.49	3.00	3.6	3.73	4.13	–	2.77	3.66